



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/685,911	10/15/2003	Takeshi Ohwe	3531.68536	6300	
7:	590 09/09/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Patrick G. Burns, Esq. GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.			DAVIS, DAVI	DAVIS, DAVID DONALD	
Suite 2500	to a cidini, Lib.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
300 South Wac		2652	.		
Chicago, IL 6	50606		DATE MAILED: 09/09/2009	DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/685,911	OHWE, TAKESHI		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		David D. Davis	2652		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above is less tha - If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	MMUNICATION. revisions of 37 CFR 1.13 this communication. n thirty (30) days, a reply ximum statutory period wi for reply will, by statute, months after the mailing	6(a): In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <u>27 Ma</u>	<u>ay 2005</u> .			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	•	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending (4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-1</u> 5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed	<u>/</u> is/are withdrawn	from consideration.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,12 and 13</u> is/are	- ×	`	:		
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte			У.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner	:			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
· <u> </u>	e of: priority documents priority documents copies of the priori ernational Bureau	have been received. have been received in Applications to the control of the cont	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)		\			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date			atent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/685,911

Art Unit: 2652

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ryosuke (JP 2000-306226) in view of Kasamatsu et al (US 5,841,608). Ryosuke shows in figure 2 a head slider 21 having an air inlet end 26, an air outlet end 26, and a disk 13 opposing surface opposed to a disk 13, as shown in figure 1. Ryosuke shows in figure 2 head slider 21 including a front rail 23 formed on the disk 13 opposing surface at a longitudinal position adjacent to the air inlet end 26. The front rail 23 has a flat air bearing surface 28 for generating a flying force during rotation of the disk 13. Figure 2 of Ryosuke shows a pair of rear rails 25a & 25b formed on the disk 13 opposing surface at a longitudinal position adjacent to the air outlet end 26. Each of the rear rails 25a & 25b having a flat air bearing surface 36 & 37 for generating a flying force during rotation of the disk 13.

A groove 22 formed downstream of the front rail 23 for generating a negative pressure by expanding air once compressed at the front rail 23 is also shown in figure 2. A transducer 35 formed near the air outlet end 26 at a transverse position where one of the rear rails 25a & 25b are formed is additionally shown in figure 2. Figure 2 further shows plurality of pads 33 formed on the front rail 23 and at least one 49 on the rear rails 25a & 25b.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,911

Art Unit: 2652

Ryosuke continues to show in figure 2 that each of the front rail 23 and the rear rails 25a & 25b has a step surface adjacent to the corresponding air bearing surface and lower in level than the corresponding air bearing surface with each of the pads being formed on the step surface.

Ryosuke continues to also show in figure 2 that each of the front rail 23 and the rear rails 25a & 25b has a step surface adjacent to the corresponding air bearing surface and lower in level than the corresponding air bearing surface with each of the pads being formed on the step surface.

Ryosuke is silent, however, as to each of the pads having a first height, a second height and an inclined upper end surface with a given inclination angle extending from the first to the second height, which is less than or equal to a pitch angle in flying the slider, such that the upstream end of the inclined upper end surface is higher in level than the downstream end thereof. Ryosuke continues to be silent as to each of the pads including a base pad having a first sectional area and an auxiliary pad formed on the base pad with the auxiliary pad having a second sectional area smaller than the first sectional area.

Kasamatsu et al shows in figure 28 that each of the pads has a first height, a second height and an inclined upper end surface with a given inclination angle extending from the first to the second height, which is less than or equal to a pitch angle in flying the slider, such that the upstream end of the inclined upper end surface is higher in level than the downstream end thereof. Kasamatsu et al also shows in figure 28 that each of the pads includes a base pad having a first sectional area and an auxiliary pad formed on the base pad with the auxiliary pad having a second sectional area smaller than the first sectional area.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the pads of Ryosuke with an incline or auxiliary pads as taught by

1

.

1...:

Application/Control Number: 10/685,911 Page 4

Art Unit: 2652

Kasamatsu et al. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to provide pads on a slider with an incline or auxiliary pads so that lubricant can easily move along the incline or auxiliary pads. See column 28, lines 7-12 of Kasamatsu et al.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 27, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant assert in the first paragraph on page 13 that the applied prior art "teaches a projection having a tapered portion which extends from the top of the projection all the way to the surface or plane of the slider, and not to a second height, as in the present invention." The projection tapered portion does extend form the top of the projection to a second height.

Whether or not it continues to the surface or plane of the slider does not preclude the claims from being obvious with respect to the applied prior art.

In the antepenultimate line through the ultimate line of the second paragraph on page 13, applicant contends the following: "The irregularities shown in the Kasamatsu et al. reference does not disclose or suggest the auxiliary pads that are formed on the base pads." As stated supra, Kasamatsu et al also shows in figure 28 that each of the pads includes a base pad having a first sectional area and an auxiliary pad formed on the base pad with the auxiliary pad having a second sectional area smaller than the first sectional area. They are not "irregularities" as purported by applicant.

In line 3 on page 14, applicant maintains that the applied prior art "does not disclose or suggest that the claimed inclination angle which is less than or equal to the pitch angle in flying

7

1

Art Unit: 2652

the slider". As stated supra, Kasamatsu et al shows in figure 28 that each of the pads has a first height, a second height and an inclined upper end surface with a given inclination angle extending from the first to the second height, which is less than or equal to a pitch angle in flying the slider, such that the upstream end of the inclined upper end surface is higher in level than the downstream end thereof. Therefore, contrary to applicant assertion the applied prior art does disclose and suggest the claimed inclination angle that is less than or equal to the pitch angle in flying the slider.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11.74

Application/Control Number: 10/685,911

Art Unit: 2652

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David D. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-7572. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday between 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

N. T. May 2012

David D. Davis
Primary Examine

Art Unit 2652

ddd